Total Page: 03

KNU/2022/ LLBHC205

UG 2nd Semester Examination- 2022

ESTD.-2021

Award: LLB(HONS)
Discipline: LAW
Course Type: CC-11
Course Code: LLBHC205
Course Name: Law of Evidence

Time: 4hrs.

## I. Answer any ten questions

10X1=10

- 1. The nature of The Law of Evidence is
  - a) Purely Substantive law
    - b) Civil law
    - c) Criminal law
    - d) Adjective law.
- 2. Indian Evidence Act is applicable as
  - a) Lex Loci
  - b) Lex Fori
  - c) both
  - d) none.
- 3. The Indian Evidence Act does not apply to which of the following
  - a) Adjudication process
  - b) Court Martial
  - c) Cases before Tribunals
  - d) Cases in Family courts.
- 4. The facts which are not relevant otherwise, become relevant,
  - a) Such facts are not consistent with any fact-in-issue
  - Such facts in connection with any other facts make the existence of any factin-issue or relevant facts highly provable
  - Such facts in connection with any other facts make the non-existence of any fact inissue or relevant facts highly improbable,
  - d) All of the above.
- Due execution of a document more than thirty years old coming from proper custody, is a
  - a) Presumption of fact,
  - b) Rebuttable presumption of law,
  - Irrebutable presumption of law,
  - d) Presumption of fact and law both
- A confession made by a conspirator involving other members is relevant against the conspirator jointly tried with him and is admissible
  - a) Under Section 8 of the Indian Evidence Act
  - b) Under Section 10 of Indian Evidence Act
  - c) Under Section 30 of Indian Evidence Act
  - d) Both b. and c
- 7. Documentary evidence is of which two kinds?
  - a) basic and primary

- b) primary and secondary
- c) primary and elementary
- d) None.
- 8. The shifting burden of proof is called
  - a) Onus probandi
  - b) Onus ratio-dendi
  - c) both
  - d) none.
- 9. A person may become an accomplice if
  - a) he is guilty of the same crime
  - b) if he is not a partner of the crime
  - c) if he does have knowledge of the commission of the crime
  - d) none.
- 10. Ithen case of wills, the period of thirty years shall run
  - a) From the date of the will,
  - b) From the date of the death of the testator,
  - c) From the date of registration of the will, if registered,
  - d) Either (a) or (b)
- 11. Section 112 of the Evidence Act applies when there is a dispute regarding
  - a) Maternity of a child
  - b) Paternity of a child
  - c) Both (a) and (b)
  - d) Either (a) or (b)
- 12. Section 91 of the Evidence Act applies to a.
  - a) Transactions which under the law must be in writing
  - b) Transactions which are reduced to writing voluntarily
  - c) Both (a) and (b)
  - d) Only (a) and not (b)
- 13. If the husband has been alleged to have committed a crime the wife
  - a) may be a competent witness
  - b) may not be a competent witness
  - c) sometimes may be a competent witness
  - d) will never be a competent witness.
- 14. How many witnesses are essential in a proceeding?
  - a) 10
  - b) 29
  - c) any number
  - d) witnesses are not essential.
- 15. The word relevancy as defined under sec 2 of the Indian Evidence Act means
  - a) admissible
  - b) connected
  - c) not connected
  - d) none
- 16. The relationship in Section 50 of the Evidence Act means
  - a) Relations by blood only
  - b) Relationship by birth or marriage
  - c) Relationship by blood or marriage or adoption
  - d) Only (a) and not (b) and (c).
- II. Answer any ten questions

1. What is resgastae fact?

10x2 = 20



- 2. What is meant by Plea of Alibi?
- 3. A fact stated by one of the conspirators will it be applicable to all the conspirators?
- 4. Briefly state the different forms of Evidence.
- 5. What are confessional statements?
- 6. Expert opinion on relationships, when becomes relevant?
- 7. What are "Cross Examination' and "Re-Examination'?
- 8. A caricature is produced as evidence to prove a fact. Is this Evidence relevant and admissible?
- 9. What is the presumption of documents thirty years old?
- "The right of the villagers of a particular village to use the water of a particular well is a general right.' Explain the above statement with the provision under the Indian Evidence Act, 1872.
- 11. Is an oral admission made by the accused oral evidence within the meaning of Section 3 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872?
- 12. What is judicial and Extra Judicial Confession?
- 13. What is a leading question?
- 14. Can indecent and scandalous questions be asked to a witness?
- 15. What is the evidentiary value of a child witness?
- 16. Can a new trial start for the rejection of evidence?

## III Answer any six questions

6x5

- "All confessions are admission but all admissions are not confession"-In the light of the statement explain what is confession? State the difference between admission and admissible.
- 2. Which facts may be proved in a trial or proceeding?
- All facts are relevant under the Chapter of relevancy in the Indian Evidence Act-Justify.
- 4. What is hearsay evidence? Is it admissible evidence or not?
- 5. Mention the cases in which secondary evidence may be given?
- 6. Write down the difference between Admissibility and Relevancy.
- Oral evidence must be direct Justify.
- 8. What is a burden of proof? On whom the burden of proof lies in a suit or proceeding? State the difference between sec 101 and sec 103 of the Indian Evidence Act?
- 9. What is estoppel? State the importance of estoppel under the Indian Evidence Act.
- 10. Differentiate between the evidence given by an accomplice and a co-accused and decide upon the evidentiary value of each of them.

## IV. Answer any two questions

2x10=20

- Explain briefly the law of presumptions contained in Section 79 to 90 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872
- 2. What is a dying declaration? State the evidentiary value of a dying declaration.
- 3. Whenawitnessiscrossexamined, whatother questions can be asked in addition to the questions relating to the incident?
- 4. State how a document may be proved in a law court?
- 5. State the difference between fact and fact in issue. State the different kinds of fact
- Who is competent witness? Examine the power of the court under Section 165 of the Evidence Act, 1872.

